



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,312	03/03/2004	Peggy Hasan	LUTZ 2 00291	4356
48116	7590	02/22/2008	EXAMINER	
FAY SHARPE/LUCENT			YOUNG, JANELLE N	
1100 SUPERIOR AVE				
SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/792,312	HASAN ET AL.
	Examiner Janelle N. Young	Art Unit 2618

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Janelle N. Young.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph Dreher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mazzara et al. (US Pub 2004/0012501) & Van Bosch (US Pub 2003/0103482).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the applicant's arguments, how Van Bosch is related to IP and Mazzara relates is similar to "OnSTAR". Applicant states his invention does not need outside (third party) assistance, but the mobile switching center (not the network) performs the vehicle function..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required